



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_9777
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude and practices about malaria among gold miners in Shwekyin township, Myanmar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Knowledge, attitude and practices about malaria among gold miners in Shwekyin township, Myanmar Here authors focused only on drug resistance. There is very little or no information about knowledge, attitude and practices published from Myanmar at all. Either I recommend revising the title (emphasis on drug resistance) or adding substantial texts in background section. Authors should write malaria background in Myanmar. A recent article (http://www.thelancet.com/journals/langlo/article/PIIS2214-109X%2813%2970176-1/abstract) published in the LGH from neighboring country (figure 4) mentioned Myanmar is the 16th in rank where highest number of people is living under malaria risk. “A cross-sectional community-based survey was carried out in from March to April of 2013.” – It has not mentioned at the background (objectives)	I have changed the title as you suggested. I have added the most recent figures in WHO Malaria report (2013).



SDI Review Form 1.6

	A digitized map should be given instead of poor resolution map present in this manuscript. Beyond the complete adherence to RDTs – This issue should be highlighted in discussion section with greater emphasis.	Sorry, google map is also very poor resolution. I tried to emphasize this issue more.
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	“At present, migrant/mobile populations are considered to play a major role in the potential spread of artemisinin resistant malaria within countries and across borders.” – This statement should be backed by reference. There is missing reference in line 51, 57. Elaborate “KIIs” – line 92 I expect authors should write more about malaria prevalence/incidence in the study area somewhere in the manuscript. All abbreviations should be spelled out throughout the manuscript. Conclusions should be shortened and constructive. Journal should check references have placed in journal style.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It seems authors performed qualitative study about knowledge, attitude and practice. The results revealed from this study seems real and findings are worthy of publication. But I prefer the authors revise the manuscript thoroughly before publication.	